UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,865	11/14/2001	William M. Cullen	23982-10313	8307
758 FENWICK & V	7590 08/04/200 WEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET			PATEL, DHAIRYA A	
	YIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
09/993,865	CULLEN ET AL.
	Art Unit
Dhairya A. Patel	2451
	7

Part of Paper No. 20090731

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 29 April 2009.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Representation reason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from core	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Dhairya A. Patel</u> .	(3) <u>Jeff Pwu</u> .
(2) <u>John Follansbee</u> .	(4)
/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451	/Jeffrey Pwu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446